

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

11

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/652,993	08/31/2000	Vishnu K. Agarwal	98-0616.03	4012
27076 7	590 02/27/2003			
DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT SUITE 3400			EXAMINER	
			DIAZ, JOSE R	
1420 FIFTH A	VENUE			
SEATTLE, WA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			2815	
			DATE MAILED: 02/27/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · ·	Amplication	<del></del>	m
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/652,993	AGARWAL, VISHNI	J K.
	Examiner	Art Unit	
The MAIL INC DATE (1)	José R Díaz	2815	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe			
THE REPLY FILED 05 February 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	Old abandonment of this applica	ation. A proper reply	į to a
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the content of the period of the content of	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CFF (extension and the corresponding amount of the corresponding	g date of the final rejection IE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the appro	on. See MPEP
timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CF	e later than three months after the maili FR 1.704(b).	ing date of the final rejec	tion, even if
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	Brief must be filed within the pe 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of	riod set forth in the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	cause:		
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require further	r consideration and/or search (s	ee NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be		<i>,,</i>	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	better form for appeal by mater	ially reducing or sim	plifying the
(d) 🛛 they present additional claims without cancelin	g a corresponding number of fir	nally rejected claims	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.	•		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	n(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	e allowable if submitted in a sep	parate, timely filed a	mendment
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for rapplication in condition for allowance because:	econsideration has been consid 	ered but does NOT	place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becausied by the Examiner in the final rejection.	use it is not directed SOLELY to	issues which were	newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s explanation of how the new or amended claims wou	s) a) $\boxtimes$ will not be entered or b) $\llbracket$	☐ will be entered an or appended.	d an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 4,76,77 and 81-85.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a	)☐ approved or b)☐ disappro	oved by the Examine	er.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement			
10. Other:		Tele	١
		EDDIE LEE	
	5	SUPERVISORY PATENT	
Patent and Trademark Office		TECHNOLOGY CEN	FER 2800





Continuation of 2. NOTE: The limitation "exposing said conductive material to a material selected from the group consisting of phosphine and methylsilane" in the claimed method, as presented in the amendment, sets forth subject matter which was not considered in the finally rejected claim and hence presents new issues which require further consideration and/or search..